# VILLAGE OF PLEASANT PRAIRIE PLEASANT PRAIRIE VILLAGE BOARD PLEASANT PRAIRIE WATER UTILITY PLEASANT PRAIRIE SEWER UTILITY

9915 - 39th Avenue Pleasant Prairie, WI May 21, 2018 6:00 p.m.

A regular meeting of the Pleasant Prairie Village Board was held on Monday, May 21, 2018. Meeting called to order at 6:00 p.m. Present were Village Board members John Steinbrink, Kris Keckler, Mike Pollocoff, Dave Klimisch and Mike Serpe. Also present were Nathan Thiel, Village Administrator, Tom Shircel, Assistant Village Administrator; Peggy Herrick, Assistant Village Planner and Zoning Administrator; Kathy Goessl, Finance Director; Dave Smetana, Chief of Police; Matt Fineour, Village Engineer; John Steinbrink Jr., Public Works Director; Dan Honore', IT Director; Sandro Perez, Inspection Superintendent; Mary Jo Jiter, Communication Director, Craig Anderson, Recreation Director and Jane C. Snell, Village Clerk. One (1) citizen attended the meeting.

- 1. CALL TO ORDER
- 2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
- 3. ROLL CALL
- 4. **RECOGNITION** 
  - A. Consider and present Resolution #18-17 in Appreciation and Recognition to Roger R. Mayer for His Years of Service to the Village of Pleasant Prairie's Police and Fire Commission.

### John Steinbrink:

There's two on the agenda tonight, but I don't see them in attendance. Rather than read everything here we'll wait until we get them in. But we have two resolutions. One is 18-17, that's Resolution in appreciation and thanks to Roger Mayer for his service to the Village Police and Fire Commission.

B. Consider and present Resolution #18-18 in Appreciation and Recognition to Thomas W. Terwall for His Years of Service to the Village of Pleasant Prairie's Police and Fire Commission.

#### John Steinbrink:

And the other is Resolution 18-18, and that's in Resolution in appreciation and recognition of Thomas We. Terwall for his years of service to the Village of Pleasant Prairie Police and Fire Commission. I don't believe either man could make it this evening so we'll do it at a later date then when they can be present.

_	e Board Meeting 21, 2018
Michae	el Serpe:
	Should we approve the resolutions?
Kris K	eckler:
	Or table?
Dave I	Klimisch:
	Why don't we table then and we can recognize them at the later date.
Kris K	eckler:
	Move to table 18-17 and 18-18.
Dave I	Klimisch:
	Second.
John S	teinbrink:
	Motion by Kris, second by Dave. Those in favor?
Voices	:
	Aye.
John S	teinbrink:
	Opposed? So carries.
KLIM	KECKLER MOVED TO TABLE RESOLUTION #18-17 AND #18-18, SECONDED BY ISCH; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.
5.	MINUTES OF MEETINGS - MAY 7, 2018 AND MAY 14, 2018
Dave I	Climisch:
	Move approval.
Michael Serpe:	
	Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Dave, second by Mike S. Any discussion? Those in favor?

Voices:

Aye.

John Steinbrink:

Opposed? So carries.

KLIMISCH MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE VILLAGE BOARD MAY 7, 2018 AND MAY 14, 2018 MEETINGS OF AS PRESENTED IN THEIR WRITTEN FORM; SECONDED BY SERPE; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

## 6. CITIZEN COMMENTS

Jane Snell:

Mr. President, we do have one signup this evening. It's Terry McMahon.

# Terry McMahon:

Good evening, Mr. President and members of the Board. My name's Terry McMahon, 6407 107th Street, Pleasant Prairie. I'm here to extend a thank you to the crew for the public works department, for John Steinbrink, Jr., as [inaudible] as they recently completed or are in the process of putting in a new culvert on Old Green Bay Road. They worked efficiently, professionally and clean and making sure public safety of the road was maintained. Unfortunately there was one item that stretched from this issue that nobody in the neighborhood was notified that the road was going to close. And we had one neighbor who decided he'd get a little upset and decide to go off roading and make his own roads. Unfortunately that's going to happen, and I can't control anybody but myself. Again, to John and his boys thank you for doing a decent job professionally and doing it in a proper manner and keeping public safety involved. Thank you.

### John Steinbrink:

Thank you. Anyone else wishing to speak under citizens' comments? Hearing none I'm going to close citizens' comments.

## 7. ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT

Nathan Thiel:

I have nothing to report tonight.

### 8. NEW BUSINESS

A. Receive Plan Commission recommendation and consider Ordinance #18-20 for a Zoning Map Amendment as it relates to vacant properties generally located west of 11878 25th Court from Zoning R-4 to Zoning R-3.

Peggy Herrick:

Mr. President, I ask that we take the next item together and discuss it at the same time, however separate motions will be required.

B. Receive Plan Commission recommendation and consider lot line adjustment to combine two vacant properties west of 11878 25th Court that will add approximately 145 feet to property located at 11878 25th Court.

The owner of the property located at 11878 25th Court and the two vacant properties to the west are proposing to reconfigure the properties so that there are two properties that remain. Specifically 145 feet of the vacant property is proposed to be added to the property at 11878 25th Court. And then the properties are proposed to be rezoned into the R-3 District so that the existing property that the house is on and the land being combined with that property and the remaining vacant lot are all zoned R-3 which is Urban Single Family Residential. Upon approval of the lots line adjustment and the zoning map amendment these two lots will comply with the current regulations of the Land Division and the Zoning Ordinance. The Plan Commission recommended that the zoning map amendment be approved. They also recommended that the lot line adjustment be approved as presented.

Dave Klimisch:

So at the end of the day the middle property will be attached to the current house?

Peggy Herrick:

Correct.

Dave Klimisch:

And the new lot will be zoned consistent with the new neighborhood?

Village Board Meeting May 21, 2018
Peggy Herrick:
Correct.
Dave Klimisch:
If the house gets built would it be part of that homeowner's association?
Peggy Herrick:
No, we cannot amend the boundaries of the subdivision plat.
Michael Serpe:
I'd move approval of Ordinance 18-20.
Mike Pollocoff:
I'll second that.
John Steinbrink:
Motion by Mike S., second by Mike P. And this is for adoption of Ordinance 18-20. Further discussion?
Kris Keckler:
Is that little sliver on the lot to the left going to remain, going to remain L-shaped?
Peggy Herrick:
That is correct.
John Steinbrink:
If there's no further discussion those in favor?
Voices:
Aye.
John Steinbrink:
Opposed? So carries. Item B.

Dave Klimisch:

Move approval of lot line adjustment.

Michael Serpe:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Dave, second by Mike S. Further discussion? Those in favor?

Voices:

Aye.

John Steinbrink:

Opposed? So carries.

SERPE MOVED TO CONCUR WITH THE PLAN COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION AND ADOPT ORDINANCE #18-20 FOR A ZONING MAP AMENDMENT AS IT RELATES TO VACANT PROPERTIES GENERALLY LOCATED WEST OF 11878 25TH COURT FROM ZONING R-4 TO ZONING R-3; SECONDED BY POLLOCOFF; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

KLIMISCH **MOVED** TO CONCUR WITH THE PLAN COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION AND APPROVE LOT LINE ADJUSTMENT TO COMBINE TWO VACANT PROPERTIES **WEST** OF 11878 25TH **COURT THAT** WILL ADD APPROXIMATELY 145 FEET TO PROPERTY LOCATED AT 11878 25TH COURT; SECONDED BY SERPE; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

C. Consider the Appeal of Asma and Chris Keeler (on behalf of Imtiazali and Rubina Kadri and Michael and Cynthia Heaven) for Services Charged for Snow and Ice Removal pursuant to Village Ordinance §305.11.

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Mr. President and members of the Village Board, Village residents are reminded annually to clear snow from their sidewalk in the public right of way by media or the Village newsletter. Our construction Management staff inspected all residential properties with sidewalks in Pleasant Prairie including the Village Green Heights subdivision on February 13, 2018. The snow event

had ended by 11 a.m. on February 11, 2018 providing property owners more than the 24 hours as stated in the Village Ordinance to clear the snow and ice from the sidewalk.

Contracted forces were requested to clear the snow and ice on February 14, 2018, and the work was completed on February 14th. Construction management staff mailed residents letters detailing these events with a copy of the Village Ordinance Number305-11 and a copy of the parcel map that same day stating that an invoice would be issued in the upcoming weeks for the snow and ice removal. When the invoices were received from the contractor Village staff reviewed them, sent to the finance department for payment from the offending property owners. It is the staff's position that the residents were notified of their obligation to clear snow from the sidewalk and are responsible for payment of the charges to avoid these costs for being charged against the tax levy.

I talked to my inspectors, and they did take pictures the day that they went out and did the inspection. The first picture that we have is the address, 10004 55th Avenue taken Tuesday the 13th at 11:13 a.m., and it's clear that snow was not shoveled from that sidewalk. The second one was taken ten minutes later at 9966 55th Avenue, it was a Tuesday at 11:23 a.m. We do not have any pictures from the contractor showing it afterwards. But the contractors were there, they cleaned the snow, they charged a time and material for the rates that they had bit competitively to the Village, and we did send invoices out accordingly. And I can answer any questions you may have.

### Michael Serpe:

How many complaints did we receive about the invoices we sent out?

## John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Public works received six. I'm not sure how many the finance department had received.

## Michael Serpe:

And all of them have compiled with payment?

#### John Steinbrink, Jr.:

I'll have to check with Kathy from the finance department to see how many people have paid to date.

# Kris Keckler:

Prior to this year when's the last time we got some complaints about the implementation?

## John Steinbrink, Jr.:

We did not receive any complaints from anyone about the snow. We just went out per ordinance and checked after the snow event.

### Kris Keckler:

I meant the snow removal what's been the history prior to this year?

### John Steinbrink, Jr.:

We did the same thing last year --

## Kris Keckler:

And any complaints?

### John Steinbrink, Jr.:

-- in the winter of 2016-2017, and we ended up writing off all of those tickets because of the same -- well, they weren't tickets. We ended up writing in all those special charges because they ended up saying that they weren't noticed properly. They were, but it was the staff's position at that time just to write them off. And so we did write out a large amount of tickets last year also.

### Kris Keckler:

And the Village incurs then --

## John Steinbrink, Jr.:

And the Village incurred that cost, and then it went against the general tax levy, correct.

### Kris Keckler:

And the Village, though, did increase its awareness of the snow removal both in newsletters and other media?

#### John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Yeah, it's in the Village newsletter every year. It doesn't state the whole process or the ordinance, but it does remind residents to clear their sidewalk in front of their property.

# Michael Serpe:

And this ordinance has been in effect since 2013?

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Excuse me?

#### Michael Serpe:

It's been in effect since 2013 is that right, the ordinance?

### John Steinbrink, Jr.:

I believe the ordinance has been in place longer than that. I'm not sure of the actual update of that specific ordinance. But I believe it's common knowledge in most municipalities and cities that if you have a sidewalk you are responsible to clean it. I don't believe we are any different than any other municipalities by requiring that.

## Dave Klimisch:

And do you know about how many of these we get per winter or per snow event?

#### John Steinbrink, Jr.:

For this snow event there were around 40 that we issued out. And some were in Becker Park, some were in Harrison, some were [inaudible] and 50th. The Village does not have many new sidewalks. But our new current cross-section does include sidewalks in all subdivisions. So it's going to become more of an issue as we move forward as all the new subdivisions will have sidewalks.

## Kris Keckler:

And I think for full disclosure there's a concern that some people that were assessed that had snow removal taking place in this manner that they wanted to say from the neighbors it's just logistics, there's only so many outfits or subcontractors that you have. And there potentially may be more houses than there is time in the day to get to them?

## John Steinbrink, Jr.:

It is my belief that we were able to complete all of the inspections of all the sidewalks and violations of everyone that did not have it clean. I'm not aware of any situation where we missed a sidewalk or did not invoice one out.

### Dave Klimisch:

And is that something public works typically does after a big snow event?

### John Steinbrink, Jr.:

We do it if we have inspectors to take care of it. We don't do it after every snow event. But on this specific one we did have staff available on the 13th to go out and complete the inspection.

# Michael Serpe:

I can't see is varying from this ordinance, from the enforcement of it. If we do then we're going to have a runaway problem in the Village. And I don't advocate that we vary from what we have here. I this realistically if I go on vacation in the middle of winter I make arrangements for my snow to be removed. I only have a driveway, but still I make arrangements for that to be done. I do the same thing in the summertime when I leave for somebody to cut my grass. We have these ordinances in place for a reason. It's a safety reason, and I don't think we should be varying from what we have on the books.

### John Steinbrink:

Sir, you had a comment. You were shaking your head there.

## [Inaudible]

### John Steinbrink:

Yes, please come up and give us your name and address for the record because it's being transcribed.

## Chris Keeler:

I'm Chris Keeler.

#### Asma Kadri:

And Asma Kadri.

### Chris Keeler:

At 10004 55th Ave. We're not debating the ordinance. That's not what we're trying to appeal. We know that the ordinance is for safety. We know it's there. What we're trying to say, and you took these pictures and clearly they're not shoveled. That's not what we're appealing at all. What we're appealing is that the ordinance is not uniformly enforced. The mister here said that

he had inspectors who were able to go out. We spoke with people in our neighborhood with sidewalks who did not receive ordinances. This isn't necessarily an issue --

### Asma Kadri:

Of whether or not the ordinance is appropriate. It's an issue of fairness. And we're not sure that arbitrarily enforcing an ordinance that should be uniformly enforced is fair. And the runaway problem in this case seems to be the arbitrariness of the enforcement. And that's what we're worried about, that there are some citizens being treated in different ways.

### Dave Klimisch:

So just to clarify from your point of view some houses were cites and some houses weren't?

#### Asma Kadri:

That's what we understand from our neighbors, yes, that's correct.

### Dave Klimisch:

So when the snowplows or when the crew came out on the 14th they did the houses that they were under contract to with the Village you're saying, but then there was other houses where the sidewalks still hadn't been plowed?

### Chris Keeler:

So we know that our sidewalk, this house here, and then the [inaudible] or the other picture, that side, those were both cleared. We had another neighbor who received a notice but not an actual bill, an invoice for the plowing. And then others who didn't receive notices or plowing.

## Asma Kadri:

Not to mention our sidewalks are the same in length, the same in width and we were charged different amounts. So if the contractor did the same amount of work we're not sure why there are different costs either.

### Chris Keeler:

And so essentially we're not arguing against the ordinance. We're not trying to get out of it. What we're trying to say is that something like this we believe is important for public safety. I think our situations are a little unique because it goes into a field, but that's not what we're trying to get out of. We think that an ordinance like this is important for public safety. People use sidewalks, we don't want people slipping. But if that's an ordinance it should be an ordinance for everybody and not for some people.

## Michael Serpe:

I think what we're going to do is probably give it it a little bit more attention. But let me give you an example. I get a speeding ticket, but there's a lot of people still speeding and they're not getting tickets. So we'll do the best we can to --

#### Chris Keeler:

I appreciate that.

#### Asma Kadri:

We understand that. I think another concern we had about this is that we've lived at this address for 11 years, and notices have come to other people but we've never received one. And that's because my dad is really diligent about snow clearing. On this instance he wasn't. It was a pretty big snowstorm and they get a little bit older and things are different. So we're not contesting the ordinance at all.

# Michael Serpe:

I think to make sure --we'll do the best we can. But I'm certainly not going to sit here and say we're going to guarantee we're going to get everyone. We just can't do that. But we'll do what we can.

### Chris Keeler:

With respect I do think this is different than a speeding ticket.

# Michael Serpe:

I'm just using an example.

## Chris Keeler:

That the inspector came and checked this house and then across the street didn't check that house. It's not a bunch of cars driving by. I do believe it's a different situation.

## Michael Serpe:

If anything thanks for bringing it to our attention. We'll definitely look at this.

## Asma Kadri:

Can we have -- what's the time line for when we can --

## Michael Serpe:

Well, it's not going to snow for a while.

#### Asma Kadri:

[Inaudible] when we can expect a decision on the appeal.

#### Nathan Thiel:

Well, I think they'll decide tonight. Were you planning on waiting?

### Mike Pollocoff:

I have a question of staff. John, one of the things they're alleging is that there was differential treatment charges between different property owners, somebody paid more than another one. Can you describe why that would be the case?

### John Steinbrink, Jr.:

I actually spoke with the contract this afternoon and asked that specific question. And they log to the 15 minute interval on how long it actually takes to complete the snow clearing operations. It did take a little bit longer at one of the addresses than the other because there was a little bit more snow. It just took a little bit longer. This was kind of a unique snow event also because over the course of a couple days when it snowed, it snowed, it started to thaw, it froze, it snowed, it melted, it thawed. So it's probably really the worse case scenario for snow clearing. It wasn't like we just had a two inch snow and you could just go with a shovel and clear it. There were layers of ice and snow, and it was very thick and very cumbersome to go through and do. So they are at rate of \$50 per hour per manual labor, and then \$30 for the machinery that they use to clear it from the intersections and stuff like that. So it builds up pretty quickly. So another half hour of work ends up cost another \$40 or \$50.

### Nathan Thiel:

John, one other question just for clarity sake. When the inspectors went out did they inspect the entire Village or was it --

### John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Yes, we went out and we inspected every Village -- I have a map. I don't have it for presentation. I wasn't aware that we would need it. But it actually shows a parcel map on our GIS that has identified all the sidewalks with a yellow line. And then they go through it, and then they select each of the ones that had snow on the sidewalk. So we have a pretty detailed map with all the parcel numbers and everything. If they did miss something -- I'm not saying it couldn't have happened, but I would be surprised because I have a pretty detailed map for the entire Village, and the guys go through pretty thoroughly. Could they have missed one? Possibly something could have happened in the interim. But I believe they did a pretty good job selecting all of the sidewalks that were not cleared on this snow event.

#### Nathan Thiel:

And then one other clarification. Did the contractors when they serve notices to each of the violations that they corrected?

#### John Steinbrink, Jr.:

The Village mailed the letter when it was done after the event just telling them to expect an invoice coming in the near future because it takes a couple weeks to get the bill back from the contractor to verify, to send it to the finance department. The finance department sends it out to the residents. So there is some time duration. So just to put people on notice right after the event that something would be coming and they were noted as violating.

#### Nathan Thiel:

And the invoices went to individuals where the contractor actually did work.

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Correct.

### Mike Pollocoff:

John, is there a performance standard in the contract for the contractor to adhere to?

#### John Steinbrink, Jr.:

I don't have the contract in front of me, but I do believe that it reads that they have to clear the snow and de-ice with a sidewalk salt.

### Mike Pollocoff:

As far as getting all of them, or is there a time frame from the time that --

#### John Steinbrink, Jr.:

I believe they had them all within 24 hours. They go at it pretty hard. And one of the things I guess that keeps the cost a little bit lower is that they don't have to [inaudible] to each one. Like, for example, on Main Street I'm counting maybe a dozen of them. Those crews are going from the first one to the next one to the next one without having to stop and load up and unload and all go back to the shop or get more supplies or anything like that. So I believe they're working effectively. But it did take a little bit of time because I believe we got over 18 inches of snow over that duration. So it was a large snow event, and it did take some time.

#### Mike Pollocoff:

One thing that from my experience, and maybe it's something we should make sure we tune up the process between when the notice goes out and when the work it done. But I know for years we've had the same issue when we do mowing where people let their grass get too high where it becomes weeds. We go out, we look at it and say, yeah, it's high. And then we put an order out to mow it. And if it happens in an area it's tough to control who gets out and mows when before we send out the notices and when we actually do the work. So it's always difficult.

But on the other hand I think it's the Village's responsibility to make sure everybody complies to the ordinance. Sometimes it's like chasing clouds as to whether or not you've got somebody in time or you were too late and they did it or they did it before you got out there. Or it's just human error, somebody didn't pick up the right address or what have you. I'm inclined t think we need to follow through with this, but I guess with the caveat to the extent that we issue contracts again I'd like to see a more precise standard from the time that we notify when that takes place that the contractor is notified -- that the people ahead of time are notified with sidewalks.

You're right we don't have a lot, but we probably should do a notice ahead of time saying if your sidewalk isn't plowed you will be charged if we have to come out and do it so they know ahead of time what the cost is. Then it kind of goes from there so nobody is mistaken. And that's not to say somebody might get missed, but I don't think doing [inaudible] really resolves the problem. The real issue is to stay on top of it and make sure they get cleared off and are done.

#### John Steinbrink, Jr.:

I can draft up an ordinance revisions for the Board to review at an upcoming meeting.

# Michael Serpe:

With that, Mr. President, I'd make a motion to receive and file the claim. If there's somebody else who wants to speak I don't want to do it before somebody speaks. I'm sorry.

### Michael Heaven:

My name's Michael Heaven. I live at 9966 55th Avenue. The picture up on the screen right now is my property. Just one comment. You can see there there's a marker that is posted right on the curb. And so the curb is back several feet from where the snow line is here. So talking about clearing the snow out the street's not even cleared here in this instance. I paid considerably more than my neighbor which at \$50 an hour that was probably an additional hour's worth of time or at least a half hour's worth of time. But part of the problem I think is the plows are coming in and driving the snow at an almost 90 degree angle to that curb instead of following the curb around and pushing the snow down to the end of the street where the barricades are there. So I have some doubts about this picture. You're telling me to clear my sidewalk but yet the street is not clear here. Just a point. Thank you.

# Mike Pollocoff:

Are we not plowing the dead ends?

#### John Steinbrink, Jr.:

I do believe that the snow was plowed all the way around the corner to the dead end. I believe what he's talking about is it looks like a three foot from that yard marking stake behind the curb to where the snow is actually cleared along the roadway. So it looks like on that section in front of his house we're about three feet away from the curb. And I can talk with the staff to make sure that they clear all the way down. The picture could have been taken before we had a chance to widen everything out to the following day. A lot of times we have to go back depending on the duration, how long the crews have been out, we'll get the roads open. If they've been up for more than 16 hours we'll send them home for a shift just so they get some sleep, and then we'll come back the next day and allow them to widen everything out especially on these areas that are less traveled than these dead end roads. But it definitely was not clear at the time of this picture.

### Kris Keckler:

But there is exposed asphalt in the foreground. It's just not to the --

# John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Oh, absolutely. The road is probably open for 30 plus feet. There's just three feet from the curb that wasn't pushed back all the way.

### Nathan Thiel:

One other point just to make sure we understand. There are other individuals who have been fined and have paid. And so when we talk about fairness and equity that's just one other consideration than we can't just blanket waive. I think last year the decision was to blanket waive, but that was because it was an education piece and no one had paid at that time.

#### Mike Pollocoff:

With that, Mr. President, I move that we receive and file the appeal that's been presented to us and that the charges remain in place, and that the citizens be offered the opportunity to make payment within 30 days of this hearing.

Dave Klimisch:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike P., second by Dave. Further discussion? Those in favor?

Voices:

Aye.

John Steinbrink:

Opposed? Motion carries.

POLLOCOFF MOVED TO RECEIVE APPEAL OF ASMA AND CHRIS KEELER (ON BEHALF OF IMTIAZALI AND RUBINA KADRI AND MICHAEL AND CYNTHIA HEAVEN) AS PRESENTED AND THE CHARGES REMAIN IN PLACE WITH PAYMENT DUE WITHIN THIRTY (30) DAYS OF THIS HEARING; SECONDED BY KLIMISCH; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

# 9. VILLAGE BOARD COMMENTS

# Michael Serpe:

Just one. Saturday at the police department Chief Smetana did an outstanding job on addressing the appreciation to the law enforcement officers that received a little gift bag from the appreciation association, Howard Cooley, Rosalie Villano, Gina Friebus. Very well done. The Chief addressed the group and the news very, very professionally, and we have a lot to be proud

Aye.

	of with their officers and showing the appreciation that we do goes a long way especially with law enforcement as it is today. So congratulations.	
Mike Pollocoff:		
	I thought that we might need [inaudible].	
John S	teinbrink:	
	Any further Board comments?	
10.	ENTER INTO EXECUTIVE SESSION PURSUANT TO SECTION 19.85(1) (E) FOR DELIBERATING OR NEGOTIATING THE PURCHASING OF PUBLIC PROPERTIES, THE INVESTING OF PUBLIC FUNDS, OR CONDUCTING OTHER SPECIFIED PUBLIC BUSINESS, WHENEVER COMPETITIVE OR BARGAINING REASONS REQUIRE A CLOSED SESSION.	
Kris K	eckler:	
	So moved.	
Mike F	Pollocoff:	
	I'll second it.	
John S	teinbrink:	
	We need a roll call vote on this. Motion by Kris, second by Mike P.	
Jane S	nell:	
	Roll call vote. John Steinbrink?	
John S	teinbrink:	
	Aye.	
Kris K	eckler:	
	Aye.	
Mike F	Pollocoff:	

Dave Klimisch:
Aye.
Michael Serpe:
Aye.
John Steinbrink:

Motion passes. We will return to open session for the purpose of adjournment only.

KECKLER MOVED TO ENTER INTO EXECUTIVE SESSION; SECONDED BY POLLOCOFF; ROLL CALL VOTE – STEINBRINK – AYE; KECKLER – AYE; POLLOCOFF – AYE; KLIMISCH – AYE; SERPE – AYE; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

John Steinbrink:

Village Board Meeting

May 21, 2018

We will return to open session for the purpose of adjournment only.

Jane Snell:

Kris Kecker departed from the Executive Session at 7:14 p.m.

## 11. RETURN TO OPEN SESSION AND ADJOURNMENT

After discussion, SERPE MOVED TO RETURN TO OPEN SESSION AND ADJOURN THE MEETING; SECONDED BY POLLOCOFF; POLLOCOFF – AYE; KLIMISCH – AYE; SERPE – AYE; STEINBRINK – AYE; MOTION CARRIED 4-0 AND MEETING ADJOURNED AT 7:25 P.M.